

Interview Summary	09/497,123	MESSERLI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian E Pellegrino	3738	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Brian E Pellegrino.	(3) <u>Brian Malm</u> .		
(2) Bruce Snow.	(4) Brian Rothery.		
Date of Interview: 30 January 2002			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: <u>sample implant</u> .			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Biedermann and Rabbe and prior art rejection</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>it appears the amendment would overcome the Biedermann rejection, but requires further review of the Rabbe reference</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).	parate record of the substance	e of the interview	v(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Anan Pellegrino
Examiner's signature, i frequired